- Kamradt-Scott A. WHO's to blame? The World Health Organization and the 2014 Ebola outbreak in west Africa. Third World Quart 2016; 37:401-18
- 5 Frenk J, Moon S. Governance challenges in global health. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 936-42
- 6 West-Oram PGN, Buyx A. Global health solidarity. Public Health Ethics 2017; 10:212-24.
- Oberth G, Torres MA, Mumba O, O'Connor M. A guarter for prevention? 7 Global Fund investments in HIV prevention interventions in generalized African epidemics. Univers | Public Health 2017; 5: 231-41.
- 8 Zerhouni E. GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance. Cell 2019; 179: 13-17.
- Velasquez G. The right to health and medicines: the case of recent 9 multilateral negotiations on public health, innovation and intellectual property. Bioethics 2014; 14: 67-74.
- Wilson K, Tigerstrom B, McDougall C. Protecting global health security 10 through the International Health Regulations: requirements and challenges. CMAJ 2008; 179: 4448.
- 11 Suthar AB, Allen LG, Cifuentes S, Dye C, Nagata JM. Lessons learnt from implementation of the International Health Regulations: a systematic review. Bull World Health Organ 2018; 96: 110-21E.
- WHO. WHO's role in emergencies. 2019. https://www.who.int/news-room/ 12 q-a-detail/who-s-role-in-emergencies (accessed June 3, 2020).

- WHO Regional Office for Africa. WHO Health Emergencies Programme in 13 the African Region: annual report 2016. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017.
- Friedman S. COVID-19 has blown away the myth about "First" and "Third" 14 world competence. The Conversation, May 13, 2020, https://theconversation. com/covid-19-has-blown-away-the-myth-about-first-and-third-worldcompetence-138464 (accessed June 3, 2020).
- Lei Ravelo J. Battered with criticism, what's next for WHO? Devex, 15 May 18, 2020.
- Brown TM, Cueto M. The World Health Organization and the world of 16 global health. In: Parker R, Sommer M, eds. Routledge handbook of global public health. Abingdon: Routledge, 2010: 18-30.
- Gill S, Benatar S. Global health governance and global power: a critical 17 commentary on the Lancet-University of Oslo Commission report. Int J Health Serv 2016; 46: 346-65.
- Smith RD, MacKellar L. Global public goods and the global health agenda: 18 problems, priorities and potential. Glob Health 2007; 3: 9.
- Moon S, Røttingen J, Frenk J. Global public goods for health: weaknesses and opportunities in the global health system. Health Econ Policy Law 2017; 12: 195-205.
- Landrigan PJ, Fuller R, Acosta NJR, et al. The Lancet Commission on pollution 20 and health. Lancet 2017; 391: 462-512.

(M) Retraction—Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with or without a macrolide for treatment of COVID-19: a multinational registry analysis

Published Online lune 4, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ 50140-6736(20)31324-6 After publication of our *Lancet* Article,¹ several concerns were raised with respect to the veracity of the data and analyses conducted by Surgisphere Corporation and its founder and our co-author, Sapan Desai, in our publication. We launched an independent thirdparty peer review of Surgisphere with the consent of Sapan Desai to evaluate the origination of the database elements, to confirm the completeness of the database, and to replicate the analyses presented in the paper.

Our independent peer reviewers informed us that Surgisphere would not transfer the full dataset, client contracts, and the full ISO audit report to their servers for analysis as such transfer would violate client agreements and confidentiality requirements. As such, our reviewers were not able to conduct an independent and private peer review and therefore notified us of their withdrawal from the peer-review process.

We always aspire to perform our research in accordance with the highest ethical and professional guidelines. We can never forget the responsibility we have as researchers to scrupulously ensure that we rely on data sources that adhere to our high standards. Based on this development, we can no longer vouch for the veracity of the primary data sources. Due to this unfortunate development, the authors request that the paper be retracted.

We all entered this collaboration to contribute in good faith and at a time of great need during the COVID-19 pandemic. We deeply apologise to you, the editors, and the journal readership for any embarrassment or inconvenience that this may have caused.

MRM reports personal fees from Abbott, Medtronic, Janssen, Roivant, Triple Gene, Mesoblast, Baim Institute for Clinical Research, Portola, Bayer, NupulseCV, FineHeart, and Leviticus. FR has been paid for time spent as a committee member for clinical trials, advisory boards, other forms of consulting, and lectures or presentations; these payments were made directly to the University of Zurich and no personal payments were received in relation to these trials or other activities since 2018. Before 2018 FR reports grants and personal fees from SJM/Abbott, grants and personal fees from Servier, personal fees from Zoll, personal fees from Astra Zeneca, personal fees from Sanofi, grants and personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Amgen, personal fees from BMS, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Fresenius, personal fees from Vifor, personal fees from Roche, grants and personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from Cardiorentis, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, other from Heartware, and grants from Mars. ANP declares no competing interests.

*Mandeep R Mehra, Frank Ruschitzka, Amit N Patel mmehra@bwh.harvard.edu

Brigham and Women's Hospital Heart and Vascular Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA (MRM); University Heart Center, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland (FR); Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA (ANP); and HCA Research Institute, Nashville, TN, USA (ANP)

Mehra MR, Desai SS, Ruschitzka F, Patel AN. Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with or without a macrolide for treatment of COVID-19: a multinational registry analysis. Lancet 2020; published online May 22. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31180-6.